IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

THURSDAY, THE 20TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 29TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 27973 of 2007(E)

---

PETITIONER:

---

KARANGADAN MUHAMMED ALI
S/O.KUNHIMARAKKAR
KARANGADAN HOUSE, PATHANAPURAM
VALILLAPUZHA, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT

BY ADV. SRI.BABU S. NAIR

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE KEEZHUPARAMBU GRAMA PANCHAYAT
   REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
   KEEZHUPARAMBU, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT

2. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
   P.W.D. (ROADS), MANJERI
   MALAPPURAM DISTRICT

3. THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER
   P.W.D. (ROADS), MANJERI
   MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

ADDL. 4. THE EXECUTION ENGINEER,
   PWD ROADS DIVISION, MANJERI,
   MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.
   (IMPLEADED SUO MOTU AS ADDL. 4TH RESPONDENT AS PER ORDER DT.20.09.07).

R2 TO R4 BY ADV.SRI.K.J.MOHAMMED ANZAR, GOVT. PLEADER.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 20/09/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.**

---

W.P.(C) No. 27973 OF 2007

---

Dated this the 20th day of September, 2007

**JUDGMENT**

Heard Sri.Babu S. Nair, counsel for the petitioner and Sri.K.J.Mohammed Anzar, Government Pleader. The grievance of the petitioner is that on account of the raising of the level of the PWD road, vehicular traffic through the Panchayat road for accessing the PWD Road has been rendered impossible. I do not propose to go into the merits of the matter. Petitioner has filed a representation Ext.P2 before the 3rd respondent, Assistant Engineer. I dispose of the Writ Petition issuing the following directions:

The 3rd respondent will immediately transmit the original of Ext.P2 over to the additional 4th respondent, who on receiving it will treat the same as a representation submitted before the additional 4th respondent himself. The additional 4th respondent, upon receiving Ext.P2 on transmission from the 3rd respondent, will take up Ext.P2, hear the petitioner, consider Ext.P2 in the light of the various grounds raised herein and the documents produced and take a correct and just decision on Ext.P2 at the earliest and at any rate within one month of receiving copy of this judgment, together with copy of the Writ Petition and the exhibits. The petitioner is also permitted to file similar representation

before the 1st respondent Panchayat, in which case, the 1st respondent will take up that representation and consider the question of construction of a ramp from the Panchayat road so as to facilitate vehicular access to the PWD road, taking the account the probable expenditure for such construction.

**PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE**

btt